



Dr. Dean Lewis (Pinsent Masons)

**Prof. Doug Jones AO** 

17 November 2020



#### Overview

**Developments:** increasing complexity of major infrastructure projects Challenge: ensuring parties have certainty in the allocation of risk and liability Ways forward: clarifying the existing system of proportionate liability







# Crossing the Yarra: a jinxed prospect?

**Westgate Bridge** 





1970

2020





## Crossing the Yarra: a jinxed prospect?

**Westgate Tunnel** 

West Gate Tunnel in limbo as government, companies argue over contaminated soil

West Gate Tunnel boring machines to sit idle for another six months





1970

2020







### How to allocate liability?

#### Joint and Several Liability

- Those with the deepest pockets pursued
- Increasing insurance costs

Before 2000s

2002 onwards

Proportionate Liability

- Defendants only liable for 'their' portion of loss
- Aimed to promote personal responsibility
- Creates a 'defence' to limit liability







### **Proportionate Liability Globally**



Development of Australian law

- Uncertainty as to application of the proportionate liability regime to contractual warranties
- Ambiguous legislation has led to judicial debate (see Justice Barrett cf Justice Macfarlan)
- Infringement on freedom of contract e.g. indemnities and liability caps
- Restrictions of the regime in the ADR context



The debate in England & Wales

- Policy debate ultimately found that joint and several liability regime should stay
- Proportionate liability only considered in some mesothelioma cases
- Construction cases apportioned through joint and several liability
- Provides certainty for Parties in joint venture context (as risk from a balance sheet perspective accurately assessed)



Global Approaches

- Differs across US states
   Primarily adopted
   proportionate liability
   regime in tortious
   context in the US
- While some states of the US have adopted a hybrid model none have gone as far as Australia
- Civil law systems have not embraced proportionate liability
- Alternative means of allocating risk in the civi law context e.g. decennial liability





### **Proportionate Liability Globally**



Development of Australian law

- Uncertainty as to application of the proportionate liability regime to contractual warranties
- Ambiguous legislation has led to judicial debate (see Justice Barrett of Justice Macfarlan)
- Infringement on freedom of contract e.g. indemnities and liability caps
- Restrictions of the regime in the ADR context



The debate in England & Wales

- Policy debate ultimately found that joint and several liability regime should stay
- Proportionate liability only considered in some mesothelioma cases
- Construction cases apportioned through joint and several liability
- Provides certainty for Parties in joint venture context (as risk from a balance sheet perspective accurately assessed)



Global Approaches

- Differs across US states
   Primarily adopted
   proportionate liability
   regime in tortious
   context in the US
- While some states of the US have adopted a hybrid model none have gone as far as Australia
- Civil law systems have not embraced proportionate liability
- Alternative means of allocating risk in the civi law context e.g. decennial liability





## **Proportionate Liability Globally**



Development of Australian law

- Uncertainty as to application of the proportionate liability regime to contractual warranties
- Ambiguous legislation has led to judicial debate (see Justice Barrett of Justice Macfarlan)
- Infringement on freedom of contract e.g. indemnities and liability caps
- Restrictions of the regime in the ADR context



The debate in England & Wales

- Policy debate ultimately found that joint and several liability regime should stay
- Proportionate liability only considered in some mesothelioma cases
- Construction cases apportioned through joint and several liability
- Provides certainty for Parties in joint venture context (as risk from a balance sheet perspective accurately assessed)



#### Global Approaches

- Differs across US states.
   Primarily adopted
   proportionate liability
   regime in tortious
   context in the US
- While some states of the US have adopted a hybrid model none have gone as far as Australia
- Civil law systems have not embraced proportionate liability
- Alternative means of allocating risk in the civil law context e.g. decennial liability







#### Conclusion

- Australia's proportionate liability regime is not sufficiently clear it is both broad in its application and uncertain in terms of scope.
- In order for major infrastructure projects to succeed:
  - 1. Parties must have certainty as to the risk they are assuming; and
  - 2. Freedom of contract must be protected.
- Is it economically and legally sensible to maintain a regime of proportionate liability uncertain in its application, varying from State to State, and in concept inimical to the effective financing and delivery of construction projects across the board?





#### Questions



Dr. Dean Lewis
Partner, Co-Head of Pinsent Masons'
International Practice

**T:** +852 2294 3392 **M:** +852 9151 3034

E: dean.lewis@pinsentmasons.com



Prof. Doug Jones AO
International Commercial Arbitrator
W: www.dougjones.info

#### Thank you!



